IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

§

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.	\$ \$ \$	No. 4:20-CR-212
DEBRA LYNN MERCER-ERWIN (1)	§ §	Judge Mazzant
<u>O</u>	RDER	
On this day came on for consideration the	ne United Stat	tes' Motion in Limine, and after
considering same, this Court hereby rules as t	follows:	
MIL #1 Any reference to a public authorthat any defendant has acted on behalf of the		
GRANTEDX DENIED_		
MIL #2		
Any reference to an advice of counsel of	lefense.	
GRANTEDX DENIED_		
MIL #3		
Any reference to a mistake regarding th	e law or a mi	stake of law defense.
GRANTEDX DENIED		
MIL #4 That counsel for the defendant statements the defendants or co-defendant enforcement unless the statements are admitt case.	or co-consp	-
GRANTEDX DENIED MODIFIED: DENIED as to trial gener		ΓED as to opening statement.

MIL #5 Any statement of a co-defendant or co-conspirator.
GRANTEDX DENIEDX MODIFIED: DENIED as to trial generally. GRANTED as to opening statement.
MIL #6 Improper impeachment of a witness with a statement which that witness has neither written adopted as his or her own.
GRANTEDX DENIED MODIFIED: with the exception of refreshing a witness's memory.
MIL #7 Any prior bad acts any witness may have committed not resulting in a conviction opending charges.
GRANTEDX DENIED
MIL #8 Reputation or character testimony concerning any of the United States' witnesses, without first allowing the Assistant United States Attorney, outside the presence of the jury, to question succeputation witness to ascertain if he/she possesses the legal qualifications to so testify. This includes, but not limited to, asking one witness to comment on the credibility of another witness without fir approaching the bench. GRANTED DENIEDX
MIL #9 Any statement or argument calling overtly or tacitly for jury nullification. This includes are statement encouraging the jury not to follow the law and/or the Court's instructions. Specifically, are discussion of nullification or invitation to not follow the law or this Court's instructions related specifical to the enforcement of laws criminalizing registration or export violations related to aircraft. Including, but not limited, to any appeal for pity for the defendants or their families. Including, but not limited to an argument that other people, businesses or organization were not or are not currently charged with a criminal call for nullification.
GRANTEDX DENIED
MIL #10 That counsel for the defendants and/or the defendants have a personal belief or opinion regarding anything related to this case and/or trial, including, but not limited to, any of the following the innocence or lack of guilt of the defendants; the credibility of the defendants or the United States' witnesses or any evidence the United States presents.
GRANTEDX DENIED

Case 4:20-cr-00212-ALM-KPJ Document 402 Filed 04/10/23 Page 3 of 4 PageID #: 3807

Any statement asking the jury to stand in the shoes of the defendants or their families. This is

MIL #11

ımproper arş	gument.		
GRA	NTED	_X	DENIED
pregnant and to a child and are inapproprelevant, the 403. GRA	, in a Mot d her "due d/or may oriate mat danger o NTED	ion for Confect date" was I be breastfee ters for presf unfair prej	on of the trial defendants or any family member of the defendants. tinuance filed December 1, 2022, Moffett reported to this Court that she was February 2023. Dkt. 313. The fact that Moffett may have recently given birth ding a child or even that Moffett has children or a baby are not relevant and entation or comment before the jury. Further, even if the health issue were udice substantially outweighs any probative value pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. DENIED
MOD	DIFIED: u	nless they te	stify, then they can tell their story.
MIL #13	Testim	ony and/or e	evidence about the defendants' children or family.
			DENIEDestify, then they can tell their story.
MIL #14 that the defe	_	_	d" acts of the defendants. "Good" acts are not relevant to prove or disprove of offenses charged in this case.
			DENIEDestify, then they can tell their story.
character wi	Assistan tnesses to e defenda	t United Sta ascertain if nt should be	c character testimony or evidence concerning the defendants without first ates Attorney, outside the presence of the jury, to question reputation or he/she possesses the legal qualifications to so testify. No character evidence elicited to suggest to the jury that the defendant acted in conformity with it iding.
GRA	NTED	DEN	NIEDX
MIL #16	The im	pact of the j	ury's verdict on the defendants or their families.
GRA	NTED	_X	DENIED
MIL #17 specific puni	, ,		the defendants may face if they are convicted in this case; including any ted with any specific charge in this case as it relates to the trial defendants.
GRA	NTED	_X	DENIED
MIL #18 their families		ions in pen	al institutions and or the impact of incarceration on the defendant and or
GRA	NTED	_X	DENIED

Case 4:20-cr-00212-ALM-KPJ Document 402 Filed 04/10/23 Page 4 of 4 PageID #: 3808

a plea of guilty to any specific charges because they are guilty of only that, but the defendant has entered a plea of not guilty to the additional charges. In other words, any argument that when the defendant is guilty,

Any bolstering, including, but not limited to, an argument that a defendant offered to enter

they admit it, but when not gui	ty, they contest it.
GRANTEDX	DENIED
MIL #20 Any comment of JUSTICE MANUAL.	n the U.S. Attorney's Office's failure to follow internal directives USAM/
GRANTEDX	DENIED
MIL #21 Any accusations including accusations of discov	against any AUSAs made by the defendant/ any entity or organization; ery violations.
GRANTEDX	DENIED
MIL #22 Any pretrial rul	ngs by the Court.
GRANTEDX	DENIED
IT IS SO ORDERED.	

AMOS L. MAZZANT

SIGNED this 10th day of April, 2023.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE